Franklin County Board of Zoning Appeals

Michael J. Dorrian Building 369 South High Street 1st Floor, Commissioners Hearing Room Columbus, OH 43215

Monday, July 21, 2025 1:30

- 1. Call to Order (Chair)
- 2. Call roll for board members (Planning Admin Assistant)
 - a. Acknowledgement of Quorum
 - **b.** Identification of Absent Members
- 3. Introduction of staff (Planning Administrator)
- 4. Approval of minutes from the June 16, 2025, meeting
 - a. Motion to Approve Minutes (Chair)
 - **b.** Discussion of Minutes
 - c. Roll Call on Vote to Approve Minutes (Planning Admin Assistant)

5. New Business:

i. Administrative Matter: VA-4137 Kayla Johnson

Owner/Applicant:	Al Rahma Mosque		
Township:	Clinton Township		
Site:	2195 Albert Avenue (PID #130-001046)		
Acreage:	0.39 acres		
Utilities:	Public water and wastewater		
Zoning:	Rural (R) district		
Request:	Requesting a Variance from Section 302.041(c) of the Franklin County		
	Zoning Resolution to construct a new driveway that will put the property		
	over lot coverage in an area zoned Rural (R).		

- a. Swear in Staff (Attorney)
- b. Staff Presentation
- c. Request for Applicant Presentation (Chair)
- d. Swear in Applicant (Attorney)
- e. Applicant Testimony
- f. Call for Motion to Accept Staff's Report and Presentation and Applicant Testimony into Record (Chair)
- g. Roll Call on Motion / Vote (Planning Admin Assistant)
- h. Call for Motion to Recommend Approval (Chair)
- i. Roll Call on Motion / Vote (Planning Admin Assistant)
- j. Call for Motion on Findings of Facts (Chair)
- k. Roll Call on Motion/Vote (Planning Admin Assistant)

ii. Administrative Matter: VA-4138 Austin Workman

Owner/Applicant:	Rebekah Borchers		
Township:	Norwich Township		
Site:	3712 Darbyshire Drive (PID #200-002470)		
Acreage:	0.27 acres		
Utilities:	Public water and wastewater		
Zoning:	Suburban Residential (R4) district		
Request:	Requesting Variances from Sections 308.042 and 513.012(2) of the		
	Franklin County Zoning Resolution to construct an addition that exceeds		
	lot coverage and does not meet the minimum setback required from a pool		
	in an area zoned Suburban Residential (R-4).		

- a Swear in Staff (Attorney)
- b. Staff Presentation
- c. Request for Applicant Presentation (Chair)
- d. Swear in Applicant (Attorney)
- e. Applicant Testimony
- f. Call for Motion to Accept Staff's Report and Presentation and Applicant Testimony into Record (Chair)
- g. Roll Call on Motion / Vote (Planning Admin Assistant)
- h. Call for Motion to Recommend Approval (Chair)
- i. Roll Call on Motion / Vote (Planning Admin Assistant)
- j. Call for Motion on Findings of Facts (Chair)
- k. Roll Call on Motion/Vote (Planning Admin Assistant)
- 6. Call for Motion to Adjourn of Meeting to August 18, 2025 (Chair)
 - a. Roll Call on Motion/Vote (Planning Admin Assistant)

MINUTES OF THE FRANKLIN COUNTY BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS Monday, June 16, 2025

The Franklin County Board of Zoning Appeals convened at the Franklin County Government Center, Board of Commissioners Hearing Room, 369 South High Street, First Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215, on Monday, May 19, 2025 at 1:30 p.m.

Present were: Chris Baer Chairman Nancy Hunter Tim Guyton Joe Martin

Franklin County Economic Development and Planning Department members: Raimere Fitzpatrick, Planning Administrator Tamara Ennist, Planning Administrator Austin Workman, Planner Kayla Johnson, Planner Sean Karns, Administrative Assistant Planner

Franklin County Prosecutor's Office members: Adria Fields, Assistant Prosecuting Attorney Devin Bartlett, Assistant Prosecuting Attorney

Other Franklin County staff: Melissa Kohler, Franklin County Deputy Clerk

Other attendees:

Michelle Franke John Patterson Beth Earl James Knox, Suncraft Corporation, Inc. Dennis Meacham Kenneth Rothgeb Richard Clingman

Chairman Baer opened the hearing. The first order of business was the roll call of the members and introduction of staff and members of the Prosecutor's Office and Clerk's Office. The next order of business was approval of the minutes of the May 19, 2025, meeting.

A motion was made by Mr. Martin, seconded by Ms. Hunter, to approve the minutes. The motion passed by a vote of four yeses, zero nos, and zero abstentions.

NEW BUSINESS:

The next order of business was to hear Case No. VA-4133. The owner/applicant was Michelle Franke. The site is located in Brown Township at 8191 Roberts Road. It is 0.75 acres in size and served by private water and wastewater. The applicant was requesting a variance on Section 302.041(c) of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution to construct an addition in front of the principal structure that exceeds the maximum lot coverage in an area zoned Rural. Staff recommended approval. Ms. Franke and Mr. Patterson briefly spoke with the Board members. A motion was made by Chairman Baer, seconded by Mr. Martin, to admit the presentation, staff report, exhibits, and testimony of staff and the applicant and Mr. Patterson into the record.

The motion passed by a vote of four yeses, zero nos, and zero abstentions. A motion was then made by Mr. Martin, seconded by Mr. Guyton, to approve the variance from Section 302.041(c) of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution, as outlined in the request for the applicant identified in Case No. VA-4133. The motion passed by a vote of four yeses, zero nos, and zero abstentions.

A motion was then made by Mr. Martin, seconded by Mr. Guyton, that the basis for approving the applicant's request for the variance from Section 302.041(c) of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution, as outlined in the request for the applicant identified in Case No. VA-4133, results from the applicant satisfying the criteria for granting a variance under Section 810.041(b). The motion passed by a vote of four yeses, zero nos, and zero abstentions. The next order of business was to hear Case No. VA-4134. The owner was Beth Earl and the applicant was James Knox with Suncraft Corporation, Inc. The site is located in Clinton Township at 1990 Lamont Avenue. It is 0.25 acres in size and served by public water and sewer. The applicant was requesting a variance from Section 512.02(2)(a) of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution to construct an addition that does not abide by the minimum required setback distance from a detached accessory structure in an area zoned Urban Residential (R-12). Staff recommended conditional approval.

The conditions of approval were as follows: No. 1: Apply for and receive approval of a Certificate of Residential Zoning Compliance from the Franklin County Planning Department. No. 2: Apply for and receive approval of a building permit from the Franklin County Building Department. Ms. Earl and Mr. Knox briefly spoke before the Board members. A motion was made by Chairman Baer, seconded by Mr. Martin, to approve the presentation, staff report, exhibits, and testimony of the staff, applicant, and Mr. Knox into the record. The motion passed by a vote of four yeses, zero nos, and zero abstentions.

A motion was then made by Ms. Hunter, seconded by Mr. Guyton, to conditionally approve a variance from Section 512.02(2)(a) of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution, as outlined in the request for the applicant identified in Case No. VA-4134, and to include the two staff conditions. The motion passed by a vote of four yeses, zero nos, and zero abstentions. A motion was then made by Ms. Hunter, seconded by Mr. Guyton, that the basis for approving the applicant's request for the variance from Section 512.02(2)(a) of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution, as outlined in the request for the variance from Section 512.02(2)(a) of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution, as outlined in the request for the applicant identified in Case No. VA-4134, results from the applicant satisfying the criteria for granting a variance under Section 810.041(b). The motion passed by a vote of four yeses, zero nos, and zero abstentions.

The next order of business was to hear Case No. VA/CU-4135. The owner was Walnut Homes For You, LLC. The applicant was Dennis J. Meacham. The site is located in Clinton Township at 3806 Walford Avenue. It is 0.25 acres in size and served by public water and wastewater. The applicant was requesting a conditional use from Section 315.031 and a variance from Section 315.041(d) of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution to develop up to four apartment units which exceed the maximum lot coverage on a lot zoned Urban Residential R-12. Staff recommended conditional approval for granting a variance. The conditions of approval were as follows: No. 1: Receive approval of a Certificate of Residential Zoning Compliance application from the Franklin County Planning Department. No. 2: Apply for and receive approval of a building permit from the Franklin County Building Department. Staff recommended approval of a conditional use. Mr. Meacham briefly spoke before the Board. Mr. Rothgeb spoke before the Board members in opposition of the case. He presented a neighborhood petition, which was admitted and in size and served by public water and wastewater. The applicant was requesting a conditional use from Section 315.031 and a variance from Section 315.041(d) of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution to develop up to four apartment units which exceed the maximum lot coverage on a lot zoned Urban Residential R-12. Staff recommended conditional approval for granting a variance.

The conditions of approval were as follows: No. 1: Receive approval of a Certificate of Residential Zoning Compliance application from the Franklin County Planning Department. No. 2: Apply for and receive approval of a building permit from the Franklin County Building Department. Staff recommended approval of a conditional use. Mr. Meacham briefly spoke before the Board. Mr. Rothgeb spoke before the Board members in opposition of the case.

He presented a neighborhood petition, which was admitted and marked as VA/CU-4135 Exhibit 1. A motion was made by Chairman Baer, seconded by Mr. Guyton, to admit the presentation, staff report, exhibits, and testimony from staff, the applicant, and Mr. Rothgeb into the record.

The motion passed by a vote of four yeses, zero nos, and zero abstentions. A motion was made by Mr. Guyton, seconded by Mr. Martin, to conditionally approve a variance from Section 315.041(d) of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution, as outlined in the request for the applicant identified in Case 13No. VA/CU-4135.

The motion passed by a vote of four yeses, zero nos, and zero abstentions. A motion was then made by Mr. Guyton, seconded by Mr. Martin, that the basis for approving the applicant's request for the variance from Section 315.041(d) of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution, as outlined in the request for the applicant identified in Case No. VA/CU-4135, results from the applicant satisfying the criteria for granting a variance under Section 810.041(b). A motion was then made by Mr. Guyton, seconded by Mr. Martin, to conditionally approve a conditional use from Section 315.031 of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution as outlined in the request for the applicant identified in the request for the applicant identified in Case 5 No. VA/CU-4135.

The motion passed by a vote of four yeses, zero nos, and zero abstentions. A motion was then made by Mr. Guyton, seconded by Mr. Martin, that the basis for approving the applicant's request for a conditional use from Section 315.031 of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution, as outlined in the request for the applicant identified in Case No. VA/CU-4135, results from the applicant satisfying the criteria for granting a conditional use under Section 815.041. There was confusion regarding differences in the voting sheet from the meeting packet. Ms. Kohler read through the previous motions and amended Section 315.041(b) on her voting sheet to read Section 315.041(d). The motion made by Mr. Guyton and seconded by Mr. Martin was then voted on. The motion passed by a vote of four yeses, zero nos, and zero abstentions.

The next order of business was to hear Case No. CU-4136. The owner/applicant was Richard Clingman. The site is located in Brown Township at 8875 Patterson Road. It is 5.45 acres in size and served by private water and wastewater. The applicant was requesting a conditional use per Section 511.03 of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution for a conditional use home occupation in an area zoned Rural.

Staff recommended conditional approval. The conditions of approval were as follows: No. 1: The applicant must apply for and receive approval of a Certificate of Residential Zoning Compliance from the Franklin County Planning Department. Mr. Clingman spoke before the Board and presented neighborhood statements in support of the case. The Board accepted these as CU-4136 Exhibit 1.

A motion was made by Chairman Baer, seconded by Mr. Guyton, to admit the presentation, staff report, exhibits, and testimony from staff and the applicant into the record, including Mr. Clingman's five letters of support marked as CU-4136 Exhibit 1. The motion passed by a vote of four yeses, zero nos, and zero abstentions.

A motion was then made by Mr. Martin, seconded by Ms. Hunter, to conditionally approve a conditional use home occupation per Section 511.03 of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution, as outlined in the request from the applicant identified in Case No. CU-4136.

The motion passed by a vote of four yeses, zero nos, and zero abstentions. A motion was then made by Mr. Martin, seconded by Ms. Hunter, that the basis for approving the applicant's request for the conditional use per 511.031 of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution, as outlined in the request from the applicant identified in Case No. CU-4136, results from the applicant satisfying the criteria for granting a conditional use under Section 21 815.041. There was confusion regarding whether or not in the first motion Mr. Martin said "511.03" or "511.031." Mr. Fitzpatrick explained the difference between the two sections for clarity.

The motion was then voted on. The motion passed by a vote of four yeses, zero nos, and zero abstentions. There being no further business to come before the Board of Zoning Appeals, Chairman Baer adjourned the meeting.

The hearing was adjourned at 2:50 p.m. The minutes of the June 16, 2025

Franklin County Board of Zoning Appeals were approved this ______ day of _____, 2025.

Chair's Signature



STAFF REPORT

Board of Zoning Appeals 7/21/2025

Case: VA-4137 Prepared by: Kayla Johnson

I. Summary	y
Owner/Applicant:	Al Rahma Mosque/Ugo Nwoke
Township:	Clinton Township
Site Location:	2195 Albert Avenue (PID #130-001046)
Acreage:	0.39 acres
Utilities:	Public water and wastewater
Zoning:	Rural (R)
Request:	Requesting a Variance from Section 302.041(c) of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution to construct a new residence and driveway that exceeds lot coverage in an area zoned Rural (R).
Recommendation:	Staff's recommendation is that the Board of Zoning Appeals <u>deny</u> the requested Variance.

II. Property Background/History

The following is a summary of the development and permit history of the parcel:

- In 1922, the property was platted under Minerva M. and Everal G. Burwell subdivision as Lot #7 with the adjacent lot to the east.
- In 1942, the property was developed with a residence. Based on aerial photography a carport and detached shed were added to the property in between the years of 1995 and 2000.
- In 1948, the Franklin County Zoning Resolution and the Franklin County Subdivision Regulations were adopted.
- In 1987, the current lot was split off as an additional buildable lot.
- In between the years of 2021 and 2023, based on aerial photography, all structures were removed from the property.
- In 2024, the applicant filed for a Residential Zoning Compliance for a single-family residence with an attached garage, basement, driveway, and parking area. The current parking area has been reduced from its original proposal.

No permit history exists for the property's development or demolition.

Location	Jurisdiction	Zoning	Land Use
North	Clinton Twp.	Restricted Industrial (RI)	Parking lot &
			basketball court
South	Clinton Twp.	Suburban Office and	Vacant
		Institutional (SO)	
East	Clinton Twp.;	Rural; Apartment Residential	Religious
	City of	Low Density (ARLD)	Institution;
	Columbus		Apartments 40+
West	Clinton Twp.	Community Service (CS)	Commercial
			warehouse

III. Surrounding Land Use/Zoning

IV. Comprehensive Plan

The Northeast Area Plan (2007) adopted by the City of Columbus, recommends the site for "Low Density Residential" uses inclusive of single-family residences.

The Clinton-Mifflin Land Use Plan (2009) recommends the site for "Light Industrial + Office". This allows for office, industrial, storage, and warehousing uses.

While the proposal meets the recommendations of the Northeast Area Plan, it does not meet the recommendations of the Clinton-Mifflin Land Use Plan. However, the proposed use is permitted under the current zoning of the property.

Franklin County Zoning Resolution Review

Variance from Section 302.041(c) – Lot Coverage:

Such lot shall not be covered more than twenty percent (20%) by structure.

The new residence and parking area exceed lot coverage by approximately twelve and a half percent (12.6%).

V. Technical Review Committee Agency Review

The case was referred to the informal Technical Review Committee for comments on June 18th, 2025.

The following comments were provided by the respective Technical Agencies:

1) Franklin County Engineer's Office (Mobility Department): Contact the Franklin County Utilities Department for a right-of-way permit.

No other Technical Agency expressed concern for the proposal.

VI. Area Variance Review Criteria

Section 810.041(b) – Area Variance:

The Board of Zoning Appeals shall only authorize a request for an area variance where the applicant demonstrates the existence of a practical difficulty in the use of the property. In determining whether a practical difficulty exists, the Board of Zoning Appeals shall consider and weigh the following factors, among others when appropriate, to determine if practical difficulties exist:

- 1) Whether the property in question will yield a reasonable return or whether there can be any beneficial use of the property without the variance;
 - a) Applicant's Response/Summary: It will be impossible to maneuver from the garage without the Variance.

b) Staff's Response

The lot can be developed in compliance with zoning and have reasonable access to the lot from Albert Avenue.

- 2) Whether the variance is substantial;
 - a) Applicant's Response/Summary: The maximum lot coverage limit is twenty percent (20%). The requested Variance is for thirtythree percent (33%).
 - b) Staff's Response

The Variance appears substantial given that the proposed structures can abide by the setback standards for the non-conforming lot. The minimum parking required for a single-family residential property are two (2) spaces sized nine (9) feet by eighteen (18) feet. What is provided significantly exceeds this minimum requirement.

- 3) Whether the essential character of the neighborhood would be substantially altered or adjoining properties would suffer a substantial detriment as a result of the variance;
 - Applicant's Response/Summary: No, the character of the neighborhood will not be adversely affected.
 - b) Staff's Response

The essential character of the area would not be altered by the proposed use and development that is consistent with previous and surrounding development. No development concerns were identified to affect the surrounding properties.

- 4) Whether the variance would adversely affect the delivery of governmental services (e.g. water, sewer, garbage);
 - Applicant's Response/Summary: The Variance will not affect accessibility to the site nor the delivery of governmental services.
 - b) Staff's Response Governmental services are not affected by the requested Variance.
- 5) Whether the property owner purchased the property with knowledge of the zoning restriction;
 - a) Applicant's Response/Summary: The applicant had no knowledge of the zoning restrictions. The zoning code encourages rear vehicular parking.
 - b) Staff's Response

Properties immediately to the north, east, and south are owned by the same property owner as early as 2005. It is unknown to staff the extent of knowledge the property owner or applicant had for the zoning restrictions for the subject lot.

- 6) Whether the property owner's predicament feasibly can be obviated through some method other than a variance; and
 - Applicant's Response/Summary: No, as per zoning review, a Variance is the only option available to allow for the proposal.
 - b) Staff's Response

It is not practical to require an individual property owner to rezone a property in an area that has similar non-conforming lots. No other method exists to achieve the applicant's proposal.

- 7) Whether the spirit and intent of the zoning requirement would be observed and substantial justice done by granting the variance.
 - Applicant's Response/Summary: Yes, the intent for the zoning requirement will be observed as well as justice done by granting the Variance.
 - b) Staff's Response No practical difficulty was identified in association with developing the lot as proposed.

VII. Recommendation:

Based on Staff's Analysis, Staff's recommendation is that the Board of Zoning Appeals <u>*deny*</u> a Variance from Section 302.041(c) of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution to construct a new residence and driveway that exceeds lot coverage in an area zoned Rural (R).

VIII. Motion

For your convenience, the following is a proposed motion:

Proposed Resolution for Request:

_____ moves to approve a variance from Section 302.041(c) of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution as outlined in the request for the applicant identified in Case No. VA-4137.

Seconded by: _____

Voting:

Findings of Fact

For your convenience, the following are proposed findings of fact:

move that the basis for approving/denying the applicant's request for the variance from Section 302.041(c) of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution as outlined in the request for the applicant identified in Case No. VA-4137 results from the applicant satisfying/failing to satisfy the criteria for granting a variance under Section 810.041(b).

Seconded by: _____

Voting:



VA-4137 Requesting a variance from Section 302.041(c) of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution to construct a new driveway that exceeds lot coverage in an area zoned Rural (R). Acres: 0-39 acres Township: Clinton Streets Parcels

2195 Albert Avenue











VA-4137

Requesting a variance from Section 302.041(c) of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution to construct a new driveway that exceeds lot coverage in an area zoned Rural (R).

Acres: 0-39 acres Township: Clinton



2195 Albert Avenue





Economic Development & Planning Department James Schimmer, Director

Zoning Variance JUN 2 Pursuant to Section 810 of the Zoning Resolution

Page 1 of 7

Application for

RECEIVED



(revised 7.13.19)

Property Information		Staff Use Only
Site Address: 2195 ALBERT AVENUE		Case # VA- 4137
Parcel ID: 130-001046	Zoning District: Rural (R)	
Lot Acreage: .39	Township: GLINTON	Date Filed: 6/2/25
Property Owner Information		Received By: Kayla J.
Name: AL RAHMA MOSQUE		Fee Paid: \$350
Address: 2195 ALBERT AVENUE		Receipt Number: 25-01462
COLUMBUS, OH 43224		Hearing Date: 7/21/25
		Technical Review: 6/24/25
Phone # Email:	Fax #	Zoning Compliance #:
		RZ-24-197
Applicant Information	Same of preparity owner	
Name: AL RAHMA MOSQUE		Checklist
Address: 2195 ALBERT AVENUE		Completed Application
COLUMBUS, OH 43224		Fee Payment (checks only)
		Auditor's Map (8.5"x11")
Phone # Email:	Fax #	Site Map (max 11"x17")
Email.		Covenants and deed
Agent Information		Notarized signatures
Name: UGO NWOKE	n en el sense pour sus senses presenten en el construction en el sense presenten presenten presenten el presenten el s	Proof of water/wastewater supply
Address: 39 EAST MAIN STREET		Copy of denied Zoning Certificate
NEW ALBANY, OH 43054		Copy of denial letter
		Water & Wastewater
Phone # 614 537 0261	Fax #	Water Supply
014 037 0201		Public (Central)
Email: ugonwoke@aol.com		Private (On-site)
		Other Wastewater Treatment
	Tune text h	Public (Central)
		Private (On-site)

150 South Front Street, FSL Suite 10, Columbus, Ohio, 43215-7104 Tel: 614-525-3094 Fax: 614-525-7155 Development.FranklinCountyOhio.gov





Pursuant to Section 810 of the Zoning Resolution Page 2 of 7

Case# VA-

	Et Rechtlosoph
Section:	302.041(c)
Description:	Maximum lot coverage of 33% required
Section:	
Description:	

Section:

Description:

NEW DRIVEWAY PAVING FOR A NEW HOME CONSTRUCTION

NOTE: To receive a variance, you must meet all the variance requirements in Section 810.04 of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution. Your answers to the following questions will help the Board of Zoning Appeals determine whether you meet the requirements for a variance. If you don't answer the questions, we will consider your application incomplete.

1. Are there special conditions or circumstances applying to the property involved that do not generally apply to other properties in the same zoning district.

No



Pursuant to Section 810 of the Zoning Resolution Page 3 of 7



Case# VA-

2. That a literal interpretation of the requirements of this Zoning Resolution would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same Zoning District under the terms of the Zoning Resolution.

Neighboring building have a lot of paving surfaces and this will only conform to the surrounding existing

developments

3. That the special conditions and circumstances, listed under question #1, do not result from any actions of the applicant.

This is necessitated from the zoning code that encourages rear vehicular parking.

4. That approving the variance requested will not grant the applicant any special privilege that is denied by this Zoning Resolution to other lands or structures in the same Zoning District.

No this does not grant the applicant any special privilages

5. Would granting the variance adversely affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the vicinity of the proposed development, be materially detrimental to the public welfare, or injurious to private property or public improvements in the vicinity?

No, it does not compromise the safety of persons residing or working in the vicinity of the property

6. Can there be any beneficial use of the property without the variance?

It will be impossible to maneuver from the garage without the variance

î







Case# VA-

7. How substantial is the variance? (i.e. 10 feet vs. 100 feet - Required frontage vs. proposed)

Maximum lot coverage limit is 20%. Requested variance is for 33%

8. Would the essential character of the neighborhood be substantially altered or would the adjoining properties suffer substantial

harm as a result of the variance?

ę

No, the character of the neighborhood will not be adversely affected

9. How would the variance adversely affect the delivery of governmental services? (e.g., water, sewer, garbage, fire, police - Verification from local authorities – i.e. fire might be required)

The variance will not affect accessibility to the site nor delivery of government services.

10. Did the applicant purchase the property with knowledge of the zoning restrictions?

No, the applicant was not in knowledge of this restrictions.

11. Could the applicant's predicament feasibly be obtained through some method other than a variance?

No, as per the zoning review, a variance is the only option available to address this.

12. Would the spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement be observed and would substantial justice be done by granting the variance?

Yes, the intent for the zoning requirement will be observed as well as justice done by granting the variance



Application for **Zoning Variance** Pursuant to Section 810 of the Zoning Resolution



Page 5 of 7



I hereby certify that the facts, statements, and information presented within this application form are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I hereby understand and certify that any misrepresentation or omissions of any information required in this application form may result in my application being delayed or not approved by the County. I hereby certify that I have read and fully understand all the information required in this application form and all applicable requireents of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution. The affiant further acknowledges that a Certificate of Zoning Compliance may only be issued for an approved Variance within the period of one (1) year from the date of final approval by the Board of Zoning Appeals; if an approved Variance has not been used within one (1) year of its date of issuance, meaning there has not been active and substantial improvement to a property in accordance with a valid Variance, then the Variance shall expire and no work may commence or continue without either renewing the Variance or receiving a new Variance approval from the Board of Zoning Appeals in accordance with Section 810 of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution.

100

nust be notarized)

Х

Property Owner (signature must be notarized)

*Agent must provide documentation that they are legally representing the property owner. **Approval does not invalidate any restrictions and/or covenants that are on the property.

<u>ц</u>.,-



prel 1200

Date







Application Instructions

Please submit the following:

- Application Form Completed application form with notarized signatures
- 2. Fee non refundable * Please refer to our most current fee schedule by visiting www.franklincountyohio.gov/edp Checks only payable to Franklin County Treasurer
- Covenants or deed restrictions
 Provide a copy of your deed with any deed restrictions
 You can access and print a copy by visiting: www.franklincountyohio.gov/recorder
- Auditor's Tax Map
 Provide a map showing the subject property and all land within 500 feet of the property. You can access and print a copy of the map by visiting: www.franklincountyohio.gov/auditor

3....

- 5. Site Map Refer to Page 7
- 6. Proof of utility service

Provide proof from the provider of your water and wastewater services

Note: If centralized water and/or sewer services are provided by a private/public entity, you must provide a letter or current bill verifying that services are provided or access is available. If you're proposing an on-lot septic system or well, please provide information from the Franklin County Board of Health (or appropriate agency).

1 Staff reviews application for completeness







Pursuant to Section 810 of the Zoning Resolution Page 7 of 7

Site Plan Requirements

- The site plan must be prepared by a design professional (i.e. registered surveyor, engineer and/or architect) and include all items required under Sections 705.022 and 810.022 of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution
 - Site plans which are incomplete and/or not drawn to scale will not be accepted.
- Two (2) copies minimum size of 8.5"x11" paper, maxiumum size of 11"x17" paper *Larger size copies are acceptable in
 addition to the min./max. sizes required
- North arrow and appropriate scale (i.e. 1 inch = 20 feet)
- Property lines, with the exact dimensions of the lot labeled
- Street right-of-way boundaries including street centerline
- The exact dimensions and location of all <u>existing</u> buildings (principal and accessory), structures (decks, patios, pools, paved parking areas, courtyards, etc.) and driveways/access points, indicating setbacks of each from property lines with measurements/distances labeled
- The exact dimensions, height and location of all <u>proposed</u> buildings, structures, additions, or modifications to the property, indicating setbacks from property lines with measurements/distances labeled
- Landscaping details provide the quantity, location, size and plant species (Ohio Native Non-Invasive Only) used
- All open space areas including calculatons (percentage) of impervious vs. pervious surface
- Building elevations and/or architectual renderings
- Parking layout with required parking calculations provided
- Lighting details location, type of fixture (illustration), height and strength (footcandles/lumens)
- Existing and intended uses of all buildings and structures
 - If multiple uses are being conducted within one building, the site plan must reflect the area of the building being
 occupied by each individual use
- All easements and above/below ground utilities
- Regulatory floodplain (Floodway and Floodway Fringe) and riparian setback boundaries, when applicable
- All existing and proposed above and below ground drainage and stormwater features
 - Refer to the Franklin County Stormwater Drainage Manual
- Site topography (two (2) ft. contour intervals)
- Details regarding the location, height, maintenance and screening for any existing or proposed trash dumspter
- Screening details Refer to Section 521of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution
- Provisions for water and sanitary services including the the exact location, dimensions and setbacks from property lines and structures of all private/public water and wastewater treatment facilities
 - If public water and sewer services are provided, proof of services must be submitted
- All areas of disturbance, including grading, filling, clearing, excavating, etc.
- Erosion and sediment control plan
- All fence locations, indicating height and material(s) used
- Any other information with regard to the lot or neighboring lots which may be necessary to determine and provide for the enforcement of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution
 - Please note that the requirements mentioned above, or portions of, may be waived by the Administrative Officer when, in his/her opinion, the applicant has satisfactorily demonstrated that all aspects relative to the above have been suitably addressed











PROJECT NAME DRAWN BY PROJ # 2195 ALBERT AVENUE, COLUMBUS OH 1594 Author TITLE DATE DRAWING

Site Plan

A001 02-18-2024



Board of Zoning Appeals

July 21st, 2025

Case: VA-4138

Prepared by: Austin Workman

I. Summary

Summary			
Owner/Applicant:	Rebekah Borchers/Mark Olson		
Township:	Norwich		
Site Location:	3712 Darbyshire Drive. (PID#200-002470)		
Acreage:	0.27 – Acres		
Utilities:	Public Water/Wastewater		
Zoning:	Suburban Residential (R-4)		
Request:	Requesting Variances from Sections 308.042 and 513.012(2) of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution to construct an addition that exceeds lot coverage and does not meet the minimum setback required from a pool in an area zoned Suburban Residential (R-4).		
Recommendation:	Staff recommends approval for a Variance to Section 308.042, and a denial for granting a Variance on Section 513.012(2)		

II. Property Background/History

The property at 3712 Darbyshire Drive is in the Ridgewood Estates NO. 2 subdivision on the northside of Darbyshire Drive and Astral Drive.

The following is a summary of the development and permit history of the parcel:

- 1959 Ridgewood Estates NO. 2 Subdivision was created
- 1966 Main residence was constructed
- 1981 Non-conforming inground pool and patio area was constructed
 - Staff was unable to find any permits for these improvements
- 2004 A 50 sq ft shed was constructed on the property
- 2020 Current property owners acquired property
- 2025 Property owners applied for a zoning permit for an addition in the rear of the residence

Location	Jurisdiction	Zoning	Land Use
North	Norwich Twp	R-4	Single Family Residential
South	Norwich Twp	Twp R-4 Single Family Reside	
East	Norwich Twp	R-4	Single Family Residential
West	Norwich Twp	R-4	Single Family Residential

III. Surrounding Land Use/Zoning

IV. Comprehensive Plan

The property is not located in the boundaries of a comprehensive plan.

Franklin County Zoning Resolution Review

<u>Variance from Section 308.042 – Lot Area and Coverage:</u> Only one (1) principal use shall be permitted on a lot, and such lot shall not be covered more than twenty percent (20%) by structure.

- The applicant is proposing to construct an addition that will result in the property having a total of thirty-two-point twenty-two percent (32.22%) lot coverage.
 - The property is currently at thirty-two- point twenty-two percent (32.22%) lot coverage without the addition; the addition will not result in the property to exceed the lot coverage more than it already is.

Variance from Section 513.012(2) – Swimming Pools in Single Family Zoning Districts:

The swimming pool shall be located completely to the rear of the principal structure and shall not be located closer than ten (10) feet to the principal structure or any property line.

- The applicants proposed addition will result in the residence being seven and a quarter (7.25) feet away from the pool.
 - A variance would result in the residence being two and three quarters (2.75) feet closer to the existing pool than is permitted.

V. <u>Technical Review Committee Agency Review</u>

The case was referred to the informal Technical Review Committee for comments on 06/24/2025. No Technical Agency expressed concern for the proposal.

VI. Staff Analysis

Section 810.041(b) – Area Variance:

The Board of Zoning Appeals shall only authorize a request for an area variance where the applicant demonstrates the existence of a practical difficulty in the use of the property. In determining whether a practical difficulty exists, the Board of Zoning Appeals shall consider and weigh the following factors, among others when appropriate, to determine if practical difficulties exist:

- 1) Whether the property in question will yield a reasonable return or whether there can be any beneficial use of the property without the Variance;
 - Applicant's Response/Summary: The applicant believes there can still be beneficial use of the property without the use of the Variance as the single-family residence can still be used.
 - b) Staff's Response

Staff believes that without the Variances the property can yield a reasonable return and be beneficially used as a residential property.

2) Whether the variance is substantial;

a) Applicant's Response/Summary:

The applicant stated that the house was built in 1966 and at the time of its construction the property was at twenty percent (20%) lot coverage. Once the pool and patio area were constructed in 1981 it caused the property to be at thirty-two percent (32%) lot coverage. The proposed addition is replacing the existing paved area between the home and the pool, and therefore not increasing the lot coverage from what was previously was permitted. Additionally, the proposed addition would be two (2) feet and nine (9) inches closer to the pool than what is permitted.

b) Staff's Response

The property has had a lot coverage of thirty-two- point twenty-two percent (32.22%) since 1981 caused by the construction of an in-ground pool and patio area. The proposed addition would not cause the property to exceed the maximum allowed lot coverage of twenty percent (20%), more than it already does. However, staff was not able to find any permits for the pool or patio area on the property. The separation distance between the existing pool and proposed addition will only be two and three quarters (2.75) feet closer than what is permitted. Staff does not believe the Variances to increase the lot coverage and minimum separation distance between the pool and residence to be substantial.

- *3)* Whether the essential character of the neighborhood would be substantially altered or adjoining properties would suffer a substantial detriment as a result of the variance;
 - a) Applicant's Response/Summary: The applicant does not believe the character of the neighborhood would be altered as the proposed addition will be the same distance to the nearest property line as the existing home, and the height and materials would match the existing home.
 - b) Staff's Response

Staff does not believe that the approval of the Variance would alter the character of the neighborhood, as the addition would be in the back and would not be seen from the road.

- *4) Whether the variance would adversely affect the delivery of governmental services (e.g. water, sewer, garbage);*
 - Applicant's Response/Summary: The applicant does not believe that there would be any impact to delivery of governmental services if the Variance is approved.
 - b) Staff's Response Staff agrees with the applicant and does not believe governmental services would be affected if the Variance is approved.

5) Whether the property owner purchased the property with knowledge of the zoning restriction;

- Applicant's Response/Summary: The applicants stated that they did not purchase the property with knowledge of the zoning restrictions.
- b) Staff's Response

It is unknown if the applicants knew about the zoning restrictions prior to purchasing the property.

- 6) Whether the property owner's predicament feasibly can be obviated through some method other than a variance; and
 - a) Applicant's Response/Summary:

The applicant does not believe that there is any other way to feasibly obviate their predicament through any other method. This is because an interior renovation would render other areas in the home unusable, and any addition requires a Variance since it is already over the lot coverage.

b) Staff's Response

Staff does not believe the property owners predicament can feasibly be obviated through any other method other than with approval of the Variance for lot coverage. The location of the proposed addition to the rear of the residence is currently a deck, which causes the property to be over lot coverage. There are no records of prior Variances or Zoning permit for the property so an approved Variance would be needed to bring the property into compliance. However, the addition can be reduced in size by three (3) feet in length which would meet the minimum ten (10)-foot separation distance between the addition and the pool.

- 7) Whether the spirit and intent of the zoning requirement would be observed and substantial justice done by granting the variance.
 - Applicant's Response/Summary: The applicant responded by answering "Yes, the modest thirteen (13)-foot addition is not substantially over the zoning requirement and granting the variance would correct previous improvements which have no record of receiving Variances."
 - b) Staff's Response

At the time of the pool's construction the zoning code at the time, the 1966 edition of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution, had no mention of swimming pools. The swimming pool would be considered a non-conforming structure. However, the 1966 edition of the code did only have a maximum lot coverage of twenty percent (20%) in the R-4 district. Staff believes a substantial justice would be observed by approving a Variance for lot coverage as it would remove the nonconformity.

However, the applicant has not demonstrated the existence of a practical difficulty in the use of the property when it comes to the Variance request for the separation between the proposed addition to the residence and the existing pool. Staff does not believe the spirit and intent of the zoning requirements would be observed by approving a Variance for the setback distance between the addition and the pool as the applicant can reduce the length of the addition by three (3) feet and comply with the zoning standard of a ten (10)-foot separation distance.

VII. Recommendation - Lot Area and Coverage (FCZR Sec. 308.042):

Based on Staff's Analysis, Staff's recommendation is that the Board of Zoning Appeals <u>approve</u> a Variance from Section 308.042 of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution to construct an addition that exceeds lot coverage in an area zoned Suburban Residential (R-4).

Motion

For your convenience, the following is a proposed resolution:

Proposed Motion for Request:

moves to approve a Variance from Section 308.042 of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution as outlined in the request for the applicant identified in Case No. VA-4138.

Seconded by: _____

Voting:

Findings of Fact

For your convenience, the following are proposed findings of fact:

move that the basis for approving/denying the applicant's request for the Variance from Section 308.042 of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution as outlined in the request for the applicant identified in Case No. VA-4138 results from the applicant satisfying/failing to satisfy the criteria for granting a Variance under Section 810.041(b).

Seconded by: _____

Voting:

Recommendation - Swimming Pools in Single Family Zoning Districts (FCZR Sec. 513.012(2)): Based on Staff's Analysis, Staff's recommendation is that the Board of Zoning Appeals <u>deny</u> a Variance from Section 513.012(2) of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution to construct an addition that does not meet the minimum setback required from a pool in an area zoned Suburban Residential (R-4).

Motion

For your convenience, the following is a proposed resolution:

Proposed Motion for Request:

moves to deny a Variance from Section 513.012(2) of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution as outlined in the request for the applicant identified in Case No. VA-4138.

Seconded by: _____

Voting:

Findings of Fact

For your convenience, the following are proposed findings of fact:

move that the basis for approving/denying the applicant's request for the Variance from Section 513.012(2) of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution as outlined in the request for the applicant identified in Case No. VA-4138 results from the applicant satisfying/failing to satisfy the criteria for granting a Variance under Section 810.041(b).

Seconded by:

Voting:



*	Franklin County Board of Commissioners
1803	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & Planning







VA-4138

Requesting Variances from section 308.042 and 513.012(2) of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution to construct an addition that exceeds lot coverage and does not meet the minimum setback required from a pool in an area zoned Suburban Residential (R-4).

Acres: 0.27-acres Township: Norwich

> Streets Parcels

3712 Darbyshire Drive





	RECEIVED
	JUN 1 2 2025
MICHAEL	Franklin County Planning Department Franklin County, OH
INZIANO	#WA-4138
	Generated on 05/31/2025 a

MAP(GIS)

Parcel ID 200-N044G-21000 Map Routing No 20000247000

Owner BORCHERS REBEKAH A Location 3712 DARBYSHIRE DR



This drawing is prepared for the real property inventory within this county. It is compiled from recorded deeds, survey plats, and other public records and data. Users of this drawing are notified that the public primary information source should be consulted for verification of the information contained on this drawing. The county and the mapping companies assume no legal responsibilities for the information contained on this drawing. Please notify the Franklin County GIS Division of any discrepancies.

The information on this web site is prepared for the real property inventory within this county. Users of this data are notified that the public primary information source should be consulted for verification of the information contained on this site. The county and vendors assume no legal responsibilities for the information contained on this site. Please notify the Franklin County Auditor's Real Estate Division of any discrepancies.

			RECEIVED	
<u>DC</u>) NOT	DETACH	JUN 1 2 2025	
Instrument Number: 202007160102498 Recorded Date: 07/16/2020 9:12:31 AM Daniel J. O'Connor Jr. Franklin County Recorder 373 South High Street, 18th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 (614) 525-3930 http://Recorder.FranklinCountyOhio.gov		Return To (Mail Envelo NORTHWEST TITLE	Franklin County Planning Department Franklin County, OH	
Recorder@FranklinCountyOhio.gov Transaction Number: T20200059484 Document Type: DEED Document Page Count: 2			Mail Envelope	
Submitted By (Mail): NORTHWEST TITLE				
	Mail			
First Grantor: JAMES M PERRY		First Grantee: REBEKAH A BORCHERS	5	
Fees:		Instrument Number: 20	2007160102498	
Document Recording Fee:	\$34.00	Recorded Date: 07/16/2		
Total Fees:	\$34.00			
Amount Paid:	\$34.00			
Amount Due:	\$0.00			

OFFICIAL RECORDING COVER PAGE

DO NOT DETACH

THIS PAGE IS NOW PART OF THIS RECORDED DOCUMENT

NOTE: If the document data differs from this cover sheet, please first check the document on our website to ensure it has been

corrected. The document data always supersedes the cover page.

If an error on the cover page appears on our website after review please let our office know.

COVER PAGE DOES NOT INCLUDE ALL DATA, PLEASE SEE INDEX AND DOCUMENT FOR ANY ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.

12433	
Conveyance	
Mandatory: 310.00	T
Permissive: 620.00 mwp	
MICHAEL STINZIANO FRANKLIN COUNTY AUDITOR	

TRANSFERRED

JUL 1 5 2020

MICHAEL STINZIANO AUDITOR FRANKLIN COUNTY, OHIO

GENERAL WARRANTY DEED

Know all persons by these presents, that:

James M. Perry and Brittany M. Perry

husband and wife, the designated Grantor herein, whether one or more than one, for valuable consideration received hereby grant and assign with general warranty covenants, to:

Rebekah A. Borchers

the designated Grantee herein whether one or more than one, whose tax-bill mailing address will be 461 Beecher Rd., Gahanna, OH 43230, all interest in the following real property:

Situated in the County of Franklin, in the State of Ohio and in the Township of Norwich and bounded and described as follows:

Being Lot Number Thirty-four (34), Block 4, RIDGEWOOD ESTATES NO. 2, as the same is numbered and delineated upon the recorded plat thereof, of record in Plat Book 33, Pages 12, 13 and 14, Recorder's Office, Franklin County, Ohio.

Property Address: 3712 Darbyshire Drive, Hilliard, OH 43026

Parcel No. 200-002470-00

Prior Deed Reference: Instrument No. 201708250118087, Franklin County, Ohio records

The foregoing real property is granted by the Grantor and accepted by the Grantee except for the following and subject to all of which this conveyance is made: legal highways; zoning ordinances; real estate taxes and assessments which are now or may hereafter become a lien on said premises; covenants, conditions, restrictions and easements of record; and all coal, oil, gas, and other mineral rights and interests previously transferred or reserved of record.

The Grantor herein has read this Deed and hereby acknowledges the voluntary signing hereof.

Executed on this 1st day of July, 2020.

James M. Perry

)

)

Brittany Perr

State of Ohio County of Franklin

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 1st day of July, 2020, by James M. Perry and Brittany M. Perry.

Notary Public



<u>This instrument prepared by:</u> The Holfinger Stevenson Law Firm 1160 Dublin Road, Suite 500 Columbus, OH 43215

File #1-13367

After recording, return to: Northwest Title Family of Companies, Inc c/o Sherri Meinert 4151 Executive Parkway, Suite 190 Westerville, OH, 43081

3712 DARBYSHIRE DRIVE

PROJECT CRITERIA

407 BATHTUBS

419 LAVATORIES

421 SHOWERS

122 SINKS

425 WATER CLOSETS

409 DISHWASHING MACHINES

412 FAUCETS AND FIXTURE FITTINGS

BATHTUBS SHALL CONFORM TO ASME A112.19.1/CSA B45.2

ASME A112.19.2/CSA B45.1, ASME A112.19.3/CSA B45.4, OR CSA B45.5/IAPMO Z124.

RESIDENTIAL DISHWASHERS SHALL CONFORM TO NSE 184

FAUCETS AND FIXTURE FITTINGS SHALL CONFORM TO ASME A112.18.1/CSA B125.1. FAUCETS AND FIXTURE FITTINGS THAT SUPPLY DRINKING WATER FOR HUMAN INGESTION SHALL CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF NSS 61, SECTION 9. FLEXBLE WATER CONNECTORS EXPOSED TO CONTINUOUS PRESSURE SHALL CONFORM TO ASME A112.16.4/CSA B125.6. ACCESS SHALL BE PROVIDED TO ALL FLEXIBLE WATER CONNECTORS FAUCETS BAUETS

CONFORM TO THE WATER CONSUMPTION REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 604.4

AVATORIES SHALL CONFORM TO ASME A112.19.1/CSA B45.2. ASME A112.19.2/CSA B45.1, ASME A112.19.3/CSA B45.4, OR CSA B45.5/IAPMO Z124.

PREFABRICATED SHOWERS AND SHOWER COMPARTMENTS SHALL CONFORM TO ASME A112.19.1/CSA B45.2, ASME A112.19.2/CSA B45.1, ASME A112.19.3/CSA B45.4, OR CSA B45.5/AR/MO 2124. INDIVIDUAL SHOWER AND TUB-SHOWER COMBINATION VALVES SHALL BE BALANCED-PRESSURE, THERMOSTATIC OR COMBINATION BALANCED-PRESSURE/THERMOSTATIC VALVES THAT CONFORM TO THE FEORIBRENTS OF ASSE TOL/AGSME

CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF ASSE 1016/ASME

CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF ASSE TOT/ASSME A112.1016/CSA B125.10 A SME A112.8116/CSA B125.1.5UCH VALVES SHALL BE INSTALLED AT THE POINT OF USE. SHOWER CONTROL WALVES SHALL BE RATED FOR THE FLOW RATE OF THE INSTALLED SHOWER HEAD. SHOWER AND TUB-SHOWER COMBINATION VALVES REAL BE RATED FOR THE FLOW RATE OF OTHEV AND THE AMENNS TO LIME SECTION SHALL BE EQUIPPED WITH A MEANS TO LIME SECTION SHALL BE EQUIPPED WITH A MEANS TO LIME AND TUB-SHOWER ADJUSTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTRUCTIONS TO PROVDE WATER AT A TEMPERATURE NOT TO EXCEED 1207F (49°C), INLINE THERMERATURE NOT TO EXCEED 1207F (49°C), INLINE THERMERATURE NOT TO EXCEED 1207F (49°C), INLINE THERMERATURE NOT SHALL NOT BE UTILIZED FOR COMPLIANCE WITH THIS SECTION.

SINKS SHALL CONFORM TO ASME A112.19.1/CSA B45.2, ASME A112.19.2/CSA B45.1, ASME A112.19.3/CSA B45.4, OR CSA B45.5/IAPMO Z124.

WATER CLOSETS SHALL CONFORM TO THE WATER CONSUMPTION REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 604.4 AND ASME A112.19.2/CSA B45.1, ASME A112.19.3/CSA B45.4, OR CSA B45.5/IAPMO Z124.

CONNECTORS, FAUCETS AND SUPPLY FITTINGS SHALL

2

LOCATION 3712 DARBYSHIRE DRIVE HILLIARD, OHIO 43026 PROJECT TYPE: ADDITION USE GROUP: R-2 CONSTRUCTION TYPE: VB BUILDING AREA EXISTING: ADDITION: TOTAL: 2,408 SQUARE FEET 268 SQUARE FEET 2,676 SQUARE FEET PARCEL ID: 200-002470-00 BUILDING CODE: 2019 OHIO RESIDENTIAL CODE

GENERAL NOTES

ALL WORK SHALL CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENT OF MUNICIPAL, LOCAL, OR FEDERAL AND STATE LAWS, AS ANY OTHER GOVERNING AGENCIES HAVING JURSDICTION WHETHER OR NOT SPECIFIED ON THE DRAWINGS

VERIFY ALL FIELD CONDITIONS AND NOTIFY ARCHITECT OF ANY DISCREPANCIES PRIOR TO PROCEEDING WITH WORK

MAINTAIN A CURRENT AND COMPLETE SET OF CONTRACT DOCUMENTS ON SITE

DRAWINGS ARE NOT TO BE SCALED FOR INFORMATION

ERECT AND MAINTAIN, AS REQUIRED BY EXISTING FIELD CONDITIONS THROUGH THE ENTIRE PROGRESS OF THE WORK ALL SAFEGUARDS AND BARRICADES FOR SAFETY INCLUDING POSTING WARNING SIGNS, ENFORCING SAFETY REGULATIONS, AND PROTECTION OF PROPERTY

NARRATIVE

EXPANSION OF EXISTING PRIMARY BEDROOM AND PRIMARY BATHROOM, OFFICE AND PRIMARY CLOSET TO BE ADDED. GUEST BEDROOM TO BE EXPANDED INTO AREA OF EXISTING PRIMARY CLOSET.

PLUMBING FIXTURES SYMBOL LEGEND

- P DUPLEX RECEPTACLE ₽R RANGE RECEPTACLE
 - QF REFRIGERATOR RECEPTACLE
 - DRYER RECEPTACLE
 - \$ SWITCH
 - -¢-LIGHT FIXTURE
 - (50) SMOKE DETECTOR
 - CARBON DIOXIDE AND SMOKE DETECTOR
 - \boxtimes EXHAUST FAN
 - Ø~→ SUPPLY AIR REGISTER
 - ✓ ← RETURN AIR REGISTER



SCALE 1*-10'-0' 10 5 0

ARCHITECT D 0 0 MID-OHIO ARCHITECTURE + DESIGN 529 SOUTH LAZELLE STREET, UNIT B COLUMBUS, OHIO 43206 740.503.0122





Board of Commissioners ZOr FRONOMIC DEVELODMENT	tion for Ding Variance Int to Section 810 EIVED Zoning Resolution of 7 JUN 1 2 2025 Franklin County Planning Department Franklin County, OH
Property Information	Staff Use Only
Site Address: 3712 DARBY SMIRE DRIVE, HILL Parcel ID: 200-002470-00 Zoning District: R-4	Case # VA-4138
Lot Acreage: 0.27	Date Filed: 06/12/2025
Property Owner Information	Received By: KOUA).
Name: REBEKAH BOFCHEES	Fee Paid: \$350
Address: 3712 DARBYSHIRE DRIVE	Receipt Number: 25-01824
HILLIARD, OH UB026	Hearing Date: 07/21/2025
Phone # 614-735-6506 Fax #	Technical Review: 06/24/2025
Email: BEKAH. BOECHERS@GMAL.CO	Zoning Compliance #:
Applicant Information	7-25-129
Name: MARK OLSON	Checklist
Address: 529 South LAZELLE STREET	Completed Application
UNITE	Fee Payment (checks only)
<u>сосомвоз, он 43206</u> Phone # 740-503-0122 Fax # —	Auditor's Map (<i>8.5"x11"</i>)
Email: MARK @ MOAD. US	Site Map (<i>max 11"x17"</i>)
	Covenants and deed
Agent Information	Notarized signatures
Name: MARK OLSON	Proof of water/wastewater supply
Address: 529 SOUTH LAZELLE STREET	Copy of denied Zoning Certificate
UNITB	Copy of denial letter
COLUMBUS, OH 43206	Water & Wastewater
Phone # 74 0. 503.0122 Fax #	Water Supply
Email: MARK & MOAD. US	Public (Central)
FIRENCE MURB. 05	Private (On-site)
	Other Wastewater Treatment
	Public (Central)
	Private (On-site)
	Other



Zoning Variance

Pursuant to Section 810 of the Zoning Resolution Page 2 of 7

Case# VA-

Variance(s)	Requested:
Section: 308	
Description:	6 SF ADDITION INCREASES LOT COVERAGE TO 32.22
Section	5.012(z)
Description:	DITION TO BE 7'-3' FROM POOL INSTEAD OF 10'.
Section:	
Description:	
Describe th	ne project:
WE	ARE PROPOSING TO CONSTRUCT A 286 SF
ADDI	TION TO INCREASE THE AREA OF THE PRIMARY
BEDE	LOOM AND GUEST BEDEOOM AND CREATE A
	COMPLIANT PRIMARY BATH.

NOTE: To receive a variance, you must meet all the variance requirements in Section 810.04 of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution. Your answers to the following questions will help the Board of Zoning Appeals determine whether you meet the requirements for a variance. If you don't answer the questions, we will consider your application incomplete.

1. Are there special conditions or circumstances applying to the property involved that do not generally apply to other properties in the same zoning district.

NO.



Zoning Variance

Pursuant to Section 810 of the Zoning Resolution Page 3 of 7

Case# VA-

2. That a literal interpretation of the requirements of this Zoning Resolution would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same Zoning District under the terms of the Zoning Resolution.

THE EXISTING PHMARY BATH IS NOT CODE COMPLIANT, AND BY GRANTING THIS VARIANCE A NEN CODE COMPLIANT BATH CAN BE CONSTRUCTED.

3. That the special conditions and circumstances, listed under question #1, do not result from any actions of the applicant.

NO.

4. That approving the variance requested will not grant the applicant any special privilege that is denied by this Zoning Resolution to other lands or structures in the same Zoning District.

NO._____

5. Would granting the variance adversely affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the vicinity of the proposed development, be materially detrimental to the public welfare, or injurious to private property or public improvements in the vicinity?

NO.

6. Can there be any beneficial use of the property without the variance?

YES, THE EXISTING SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE IS USEABLE.



Zoning Variance Pursuant to Section 810 of the Zoning Resolution

Page 4 of 7

Case# VA-

7. How substantial is the variance? (i.e. 10 feet vs. 100 feet - Required frontage vs. proposed)

32.22% OF THE LOT WOULD BE COVERED BY STRUCTURE INSTEAD OF 20%, ALTHOUGH THE PROPOSED ADDITION WOULD NOT INCREASE THE EXISTING LOT COVERAGE. (CONTINUED ON NEXT SHEET).

8. Would the essential character of the neighborhood be substantially altered or would the adjoining properties suffer substantial harm as a result of the variance?

NO, THE ADDITION WILL BE THE SAME DISTANCE TO THE NEAREST PROPERTY LINE AS THE EXISTING HOME, AND THE HEIGHT AND MATERIALS MATCH THE EXISTING HOME.

9. How would the variance adversely affect the delivery of governmental services? (e.g., water, sewer, garbage, fire, police - Verification from local authorities – i.e. fire might be required)

THERE WOULD BE NO IMPACT TO GOVERNMENTAL SERVICES.

10. Did the applicant purchase the property with knowledge of the zoning restrictions?

THE APPLICANT DID NOT PURCHASE THE PROPERTY KNOWLEDGE OF THE ZONING RESTRICTIONS. WITH

11. Could the applicant's predicament feasibly be obtained through some method other than a variance?

NO, AN INTERIOR RENOVATION WOULD RENDER OTHER AREAS UNUSEABLE, AND ANT ADDITION REQUIRES A VARIANCE SINCE IT IS ALREADY OVER THE LOT COVERAGE.

12. Would the spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement be observed and would substantial justice be done by granting the variance?

YES, THE MODEST 13' ADDITION IS NOT SUBSTATIALLY OVER THE ZONING REQUIREMENT, AND GRANTING THE VARIANCE WOULD CORRECT PREVIOUS IMPROVEMENTS WHICH HAVE NO RECORD OF RECEIVING VARIANCES. THE EXISTING HOME, BUILT IN 1966, WAS ALREADT OVER THE 2090 THRESHOLD, AND THE POOL, WMICH WAS INSTALLED IN 1981, PUT THAT PERCENTAGE OVER 32%.

THE PROPOSED ADDITION IS REPLACING THE EXISTING PAUED AREA BETWEEN THE HOME AND POOL, AND THERE FORE NOT INCREASING THE LOT COVERAGE FROM WHAT WAS PREVIOUSLY PERMITTED AND INSTALLED.

THE PROPOSED ADDITION WOULD BE 2'-9" CLOSER TO THE POOL THAN THE 10'-0" REQUIREMENT.



Pursuant to Section 810 of the Zoning Resolution Page 5 of 7

Affidavit ** I hereby certify that the facts, statements, and information presented within this application form are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I hereby understand and certify that any misrepresentation or omissions of any information required in this application form may result in my application being delayed or not approved by the County. I hereby certify that I have read and fully understand all the information required in this application form and all applicable requireents of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution. The affiant further acknowledges that a Certificate of Zoning Compliance may only be issued for an approved Variance within the period of one (1) year from the date of final approval by the Board of Zoning Appeals; if an approved Variance has not been used within one (1) year of its date of issuance, meaning there has not been active and substantial improvement to a property in accordance with a valid Variance, then the Variance (1) year of its date of insuance, meaning there has not been active and substantial improvement to a property in accordance with a valid Variance, then the Variance (1) year of its date of insuance and requires the interval of the maximum the Variance or tractivition a more Variance and the Variance of the required to the theory of theory of theory of the theory of the theory of the theory of theory of theory of the theory of theory of the theory of the theory of theory of theory of theory of theory of the theory of t shall expire and no work may commence or continue without either renewing the Variance or receiving a new Variance approval from the Board of Zoning Appeals in ction 810 of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution. accordance with Se

Applicant/Authorized Ag

(signature mus

Property Owner (signature must be notarized)

Case# VA-L

6/9/2025 Date _06/03/2025

Date

*Agent must provide documentation that they are legally representing the property owner. **Approval does not invalidate any restrictions and/or covenants that are on the property.

Applications may be delivered to 150 South Front Street, FSL Suite 10, Columbus, Ohio, 43215-7104, ATTN: Tre' Wolf, Planning Project Coordinator. Forward any questions to the project coordinator at 614-525-3904 or Planning@franklincountyohio.gov.

Acknowledged and subscribed before me by On day of Notary Public



(revised 03.10.25)



Zoning Variance

Pursuant to Section 810 of the Zoning Resolution Page 6 of 7

Application Instructions Please submit the following:

- 1. Application Form Completed application form with notarized signatures
- 2. Fee non refundable * Please refer to our most current fee schedule by visiting www.franklincountyohio.gov/edp Checks only payable to Franklin County Treasurer
- Covenants or deed restrictions
 Provide a copy of your deed with any deed restrictions
 You can access and print a copy by visiting: www.franklincountyohio.gov/recorder
- 4. Auditor's Tax Map Provide a map showing the subject property and all land within 500 feet of the property. You can access and print a copy of the map by visiting: www.franklincountyohio.gov/auditor
- 5. Site Map Refer to Page 7
- Proof of utility service Provide proof from the provider of your water and wastewater services
 - Note: If centralized water and/or sewer services are provided by a private/public entity, you must provide a letter or current bill verifying that services are provided or access is available. If you're proposing an on-lot septic system or well, please provide information from the Franklin County Board of Health (or appropriate agency).

1 Staff reviews application for completeness

Staff distributes to Technical Review Agencies

3

Applicant meets with staff and Technical Review Committee

Staff drafts report and makes recommendation





Zoning Variance

Pursuant to Section 810 of the Zoning Resolution Page 7 of 7

Site Plan Requirements

- The site plan must be prepared by a design professional (i.e. registered surveyor, engineer and/or architect) and include all items required under Sections 705.022 and 810.022 of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution
 - Site plans which are incomplete and/or not drawn to scale will <u>not</u> be accepted.
- Two (2) copies minimum size of 8.5"x11" paper, maxiumum size of 11"x17" paper *Larger size copies are acceptable in addition to the min./max. sizes required
- North arrow and appropriate scale (i.e. 1 inch = 20 feet)
- Property lines, with the exact dimensions of the lot labeled
- Street right-of-way boundaries including street centerline
- The exact dimensions and location of all <u>existing</u> buildings (principal and accessory), structures (decks, patios, pools, paved parking areas, courtyards, etc.) and driveways/access points, indicating setbacks of each from property lines with measurements/distances labeled
- The exact dimensions, height and location of all <u>proposed</u> buildings, structures, additions, or modifications to the property, indicating setbacks from property lines with measurements/distances labeled
- Landscaping details provide the quantity, location, size and plant species (Ohio Native Non-Invasive Only) used
- All open space areas including calculatons (percentage) of impervious vs. pervious surface
- Building elevations and/or architectual renderings
- Parking layout with required parking calculations provided
- Lighting details location, type of fixture (illustration), height and strength (footcandles/lumens)
- Existing and intended uses of all buildings and structures
 - If multiple uses are being conducted within one building, the site plan must reflect the area of the building being occupied by each individual use
- All easements and above/below ground utilities
- Regulatory floodplain (Floodway and Floodway Fringe) and riparian setback boundaries, when applicable
- All existing and proposed above and below ground drainage and stormwater features
 - Refer to the Franklin County Stormwater Drainage Manual
- Site topography (two (2) ft. contour intervals)
- Details regarding the location, height, maintenance and screening for any existing or proposed trash dumspter
- Screening details Refer to Section 521of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution
- Provisions for water and sanitary services including the the exact location, dimensions and setbacks from property lines and structures of all private/public water and wastewater treatment facilities
 - If public water and sewer services are provided, proof of services must be submitted
- All areas of disturbance, including grading, filling, clearing, excavating, etc.
- Erosion and sediment control plan
- All fence locations, indicating height and material(s) used
- Any other information with regard to the lot or neighboring lots which may be necessary to determine and provide for the enforcement of the Franklin County Zoning Resolution
 - Please note that the requirements mentioned above, or portions of, may be waived by the Administrative Officer when, in his/her opinion, the applicant has satisfactorily demonstrated that all aspects relative to the above have been suitably addressed



Service Period		12/05/2024 to 03/06/2025				91	Days	WATER USAGE 4.000 CCF @ 4.5760000	\$18.30	
Service	Meter Se	rial	Current		Previous		p1 1	i ne di	WATER USAGE 10.000 CCF @ 4.9010000	\$49.01
Type	Numbe		Reading	*	Reading	Mult.	Usage	Units	WATER BASE CHARGE	\$81.68
WRES	328274		1636	М		1	14	CCF	WATER SURCHARGE	\$27.58
WRES	362987	86	86.95	A	86.95	1	0	CCF	SEWER USAGE 4.000 CCF @ 5.8500000	\$23.40
								1.00	SEWER USAGE 10.000 CCF @ 6.2000000	\$62.00
									SEWER SERV CHARGE	\$16.47
									CLEAN RIVER FUND 2.000 ERU	\$8.19
									SWR SURCHG RIDGEWOOD 14.000 CCF @ 0.5900000	\$8.26
								ye		
						9 - 1 B		1.138		
						610				
						- 1171				
									New Charges Total	\$294.89
0.410-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-0				Ч	le management en les					<u>+10 1100</u>
Number		Number				Average		16 CCF		
Usage History			of Days Total CCF		CCF					
Usage	History									
Usage Current			91		14.000	1. p. 1.	0.1538			
Current			91 91		14.000 15.000		0.1538 0.1648			



910 Dublin Rd Columbus, OH 43215-1169



DEPARTMENT OF **PUBLIC UTILITIES**

Please check the box to indicate phone number, mailing, or email address changes listed on the reverse side.

լլմախավիկլիկիկիկիկըըըընհերկիկիկինինին

1032

REBEKAH A. BORCHERS 3712 DARBYSHIRE DR HILLIARD OH 43026-2530

Return this portion with your payment. **SEWER and WATER** 2849745-1057148 Account Number Service Address **3712 DARBYSHIRE DR** \$294.89 Total Amount Due by 04/09/2025 ***AUTOMATIC BANK DRAFT - DO NOT PAY***

MAKE CHECKS PAYABLE TO:

ուղուղիլիկումդուրդիրուկնովիրիկինին

COLUMBUS - CITY TREASURER SEWER AND WATER SERVICES PO BOX 182882 COLUMBUS, OH 43218-2882

002849745105714810312202500000294893

